## MATH 525a ASSIGNMENT 8 FALL 2016 Prof. Alexander Due Wednesday November 16.

Chapter 3 #11, 12, 16, 19(second part only—show  $\nu \ll \lambda \iff |\nu| \ll \lambda$ ), 25 and:

(I)(a) Let *m* be Lebesgue measure. Suppose  $\nu$  is a finite measure on  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}})$ , and  $\alpha = \sup \left\{ \frac{\nu(E)}{m(E)} : E \in \mathcal{M}, m(E) > 0 \right\} < \infty$ . Show that  $\nu$  is absolutely continuous with respect to *m*.

(b) For  $A, B \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$  we say A is an *m*-essential subset of B if there exists  $N \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$  with m(N) = 0 and  $A \setminus N \subset B$ . Suppose there exists at least one set which achieves the supremum in (b). Show that the sets on which the supremum is achieved can be characterized as follows: there exists a measurable set Y such that the supremum is achieved on F if and only if F is an *m*-essential subset of Y. (In other words, up to null sets, Y is the largest set on which the sup is achieved.)

(c) Give an example of a  $\nu$  for which  $d\nu/dm$  is bounded but the supremum in (a) is not achieved.

(II) Let us say that two signed measures  $\nu_1, \nu_2$  on  $(X, \mathcal{M})$  are *compatible* if there exists a decomposition  $X = P \cup N$  which is a Hahn decomposition for both  $\nu_1$  and  $\nu_2$ . According to Proposition 3.14 page 94, for  $\nu_1, \nu_2$  finite signed measures,

(\*) 
$$|\nu_1 + \nu_2|(E) \le |\nu_1|(E) + |\nu_2|(E)$$
 for all *E*.

Let  $\mu = |\nu_1| + |\nu_2|$  and let  $f_j = \frac{d\nu_j}{d\mu}$ , j = 1, 2. We write  $\{f_j > 0\}$  as a shorthand for  $\{x \in X : f_j(x) > 0\}$ , and similarly for  $\{f_j < 0\}$ . Show that the following are equivalent:

- (i)  $\nu_1$  and  $\nu_2$  are compatible;
- (ii) equality holds in (\*) for all E;
- (iii)  $\mu(\{f_1 > 0\} \cap \{f_2 < 0\}) = \mu(\{f_1 < 0\} \cap \{f_2 > 0\}) = 0.$

(III) Let  $\mu$  be a finite signed measure on  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}})$  with  $\mu \ll m$ , let E be a Borel set in  $\mathbb{R}$ and let  $G(x) = \mu((-\infty, x] \cap E)$ . Here m denotes Lebesgue measure. Show that a.e. in  $E^c$ , G' = 0. (This includes showing that G' exists a.e. in  $E^c$ .)

(IV) A measure  $\rho$  is called *semifinite* if for every measurable set E with  $\rho(E) = \infty$ , there is a measurable  $F \subset E$  with  $0 < \rho(F) < \infty$ . Suppose  $0 < f < \infty$  and  $d\nu = f d\mu$ . Show that if  $\nu$  is semifinite, then  $\mu$  is semifinite.

## HINTS:

(11)(a) Why is a *single* function uniformly integrable?

(b)  $|f_n| \le |f| + |f_n - f|$ .

(12) In general, to show  $d\alpha/d\beta = f$  for some particular f, you must show  $\alpha(E) = \int_E f d\beta$  for all E. It may be enough to verify this just for a limited class of E's.

(16) What is  $\frac{d\mu}{d\lambda} + \frac{d\nu}{d\lambda}$ ? Is 1 - f a Radon-Nikodym derivative of something? Show

$$f = \frac{d\nu}{d\mu}(1-f).$$

(25)(a) This is very quick and easy if you look at it correctly.

(b) Consider of corner of a set, say in the plane. An example where  $D_E(x)$  doesn't exist is more difficult (I think)—construct a set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}$  such that the ratio in the definition of  $D_E(0)$  oscillates between two values as  $r \to 0$ .

(I)(b) This is a tricky one—try it but don't spend forever on it! What can you say about the values of the function  $d\nu/dm$  on the set Y? So, describe Y in terms of  $d\nu/dm$  values. What happens if  $d\nu/dm$  is unbounded?

(II) Show (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iii) and (ii)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iii). To show (ii) implies (iii), show "not (iii)" implies "not (ii)."

(III) This is a short application of one of the main theorems.