## MATH 525a ASSIGNMENT 2 SOLUTIONS FALL 2016 Prof. Alexander

(5)  $\mathcal{M} = \sigma(\mathcal{E})$ . Let  $\mathcal{G} = \{E \in \mathcal{M} : E \in \sigma(\mathcal{F}) \text{ for some countable } \mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{E}\}$ . If  $E \in \mathcal{G}$  then  $E \in \sigma(\mathcal{F}) \subset \sigma(\mathcal{E}) = \mathcal{M}$  for some  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{E}$ . Thus  $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{M}$ . Let us show  $\mathcal{G}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra.

If  $E \in \mathcal{G}$  then  $\mathcal{E} \in \sigma(\mathcal{F})$  for some countable  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{E}$ , so  $E^c \in \sigma(\mathcal{F})$ , so  $E^c \in \mathcal{G}$ . Thus  $\mathcal{G}$  is closed under complements.

If  $E_1, E_2, \ldots \in \mathcal{G}$  then each  $E_n \in \sigma(\mathcal{F}_N)$  for some countable  $\mathcal{F}_n \subset \mathcal{E}$ . Then  $\bigcup_m \mathcal{F}_m$  is countable and each  $E_n \in \sigma(\bigcup_m \mathcal{F}_m)$ , so  $\bigcup_n E_n \in \sigma(\bigcup_m \mathcal{F}_m)$ , meaning  $\bigcup_n E_n \in \mathcal{G}$ . Thus  $\mathcal{G}$  is closed under countable unions. It follows that  $\mathcal{G}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra.

 $\mathcal{G}$  contains  $\mathcal{E}$ , so  $\mathcal{G} \supset \sigma(E) = \mathcal{M}$ , so  $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{M}$ .

(6) Claim 1:  $\overline{\mu}$  is a complete measure on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ .

Proof: Suppose  $N \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}, A \subset N$  and  $\overline{\mu}(N) = 0$ . This means  $N = E \cup F$  with  $E \in \mathcal{M}$ and  $F \subset N'$  for some  $N' \in \mathcal{M}$  with  $\overline{\mu}(N') = 0$ . Then  $\overline{\mu}(E) \leq \overline{\mu}(N) = 0$  so E is null, so  $E \cup N'$  is null. Thus we can represent A as  $\phi \cup A$  with  $\phi \in \mathcal{M}$  and A contained in the null set  $E \cup N' \in \mathcal{M}$ . This says  $A \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}$ , so  $\overline{\mu}$  is complete.

Claim 2:  $\overline{\mu}$  is the only measure on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$  that extends  $\mu$ .

*Proof*: Suppose  $\mu'$  is a measure on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$  that extends  $\mu$ . Let  $E \cup F \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}$  with  $E \in \mathcal{M}, F \subset N$  where  $N \in \mathcal{M}$  is null. Then  $F = \phi \cup F \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}$ , and

$$\mu(E) = \mu'(E) \le \mu'(E \cup F) \le \mu'(E) + \mu'(F) \le \mu'(E) + \mu'(N) = \mu(E) + \mu(N) = \mu(E),$$

so all of these are equal, meaning  $\mu'(E \cup F) = \mu(E) = \overline{\mu}(E \cup F)$ . Thus  $\mu' = \overline{\mu}$ , on  $\mathcal{M}$ .

(8) The sets  $F_k = \bigcap_{n=k}^{\infty} E_n$  satisfy  $F_1 \subset F_2 \subset \dots$  so

$$\mu(\liminf_{j} E_j) = \mu(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} F_k) = \lim_{k} \mu(F_k) \le \liminf \mu(E_k).$$

The last inequality follows from  $F_k \subset E_k$ .

Similarly, the sets  $G_k = \bigcup_{n=k}^{\infty} E_n$  satisfy  $G_1 \supset G_2 \supset \dots$  with  $\mu(G_1) < \infty$ , so

$$\mu(\limsup_{j} E_j) = \mu(\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} G_k) = \lim_{k} \mu(G_k) \ge \limsup_{k} \mu(E_k).$$

The last inequality follows from  $G_k \supset E_k$ .

(9)

$$\mu(E) + \mu(F) = \mu(E \setminus F) + \mu(E \cap F) + \mu(F \setminus E) + \mu(E \cap F),$$

and the first three of the four measurable sets on the right side are disjoint with union  $E \cup F$ . Hence the right side is  $\mu(E \cup F) + \mu(E \cap F)$ . (12)(a)  $E \subset F \cup (E \triangle F)$ , so  $\mu(E) \leq \mu(F) + \mu(E \triangle F) = \mu(F)$ . Similarly  $\mu(F) \leq \mu(E)$ , so  $\mu(E) = \mu(F)$ . (b)(i)  $\mu(E \triangle E) = \mu(\phi) = 0$  so  $E \sim E$ . (ii)  $E \triangle F = F \triangle E$  so  $E \sim F$  if and only if  $F \sim E$ . (iii) Suppose  $\mu(E \triangle F) = \mu(F \triangle G) = 0$ . Then

$$(E \setminus G) \cap F \subset F \setminus G \subset F \triangle G$$
 and  $(E \setminus G) \cap F^c \subset E \setminus F \subset E \triangle F$ .

Hence  $E \setminus G \subset (F \triangle G) \cup (E \triangle F)$ . Similarly,  $G \setminus E \subset (F \triangle G) \cup (E \triangle F)$ , so  $E \triangle G \subset (F \triangle G) \cup (E \triangle F)$ , and therefore  $\mu(E \triangle G) \leq \mu(F \triangle G) + \mu(E \triangle F) = 0$ . Thus  $E \sim G$ .

(c) The last inequality in (b) says  $\rho(E,G) \leq \rho(E,F) + \rho(F,G)$ .

(A) X must be a countable union of sets of finite measure, or equivalently, of finite sets. This means X must be countable.

(B) Let

$$E = \bigcup_{n \ge 1} \left[ \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2^{2n}}, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2^{2n-1}} \right).$$

Note E consists of a collection of intervals which "converge down toward 1/2," with gaps in between them. Then  $\frac{1}{2} \in E^c$  but there is no interval  $[\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon)$  contained in  $E^c$ . This means  $E^c \notin \mathcal{M}$ , so  $\mathcal{M}$  is not a  $\sigma$ -algebra.

(C) Since  $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{F}$ , we have  $\sigma(\mathcal{E}) \subset \sigma(\mathcal{F})$ . Since  $\sigma(\mathcal{F})$  is the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra containing  $\mathcal{F}$ , we have  $\sigma(\mathcal{F}) \subset \sigma(\mathcal{E})$ . Therefore they are equal.

(D) We have  $\mu(\phi) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu_n(\phi) = 0$ . Suppose  $E_1, E_2, \ldots$  are disjoint. Since  $\mu_n(E_j) \le \mu(E_j)$ , we have

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j\right) = \lim_n \mu_n\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j\right) = \lim_n \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu_n(E_j) \le \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_j).$$

In the other direction, for each  $k \ge 1$  we have

$$\mu\left(\cup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_{j}\right) = \lim_{n} \mu_{n}\left(\cup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_{j}\right) \ge \lim_{n} \mu_{n}\left(\cup_{j=1}^{k} E_{j}\right) = \lim_{n} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \mu_{n}(E_{j}) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \mu(E_{j}).$$

Since k is arbitrary this means  $\mu\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j\right) \geq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_j)$ , so we have equality, i.e. countable additivity holds.