## MATH 425a ASSIGNMENT 2 SOLUTIONS FALL 2016 Prof. Alexander

These solutions are for the individual use of Math 425a students and are not to be distributed outside that group.

Rudin Chapter 1:

(17)

$$\begin{split} |x+y|^2 + |x-y|^2 &= (x+y) \cdot (x+y) + (x-y) \cdot (x-y) \\ &= (x \cdot x + y \cdot x + x \cdot y + y \cdot y) + (x \cdot x - y \cdot x - x \cdot y + y \cdot y) \\ &= 2x \cdot x + 2y \cdot y \\ &= 2|x|^2 + 2|y|^2. \end{split}$$

To interpret this, consider the parallelogram with vertices 0, x, y, x + y. Its diagonals have lengths |x - y|, |x + y|, so the equality says the sum of the squares of the two diagonals is the sum of the squares of the four sides.

Chapter 2:

(3) Since the set  $\mathbb{A}$  of algebraic real numbers is countable and  $\mathbb{R}$  is not, we have  $\mathbb{A} \neq \mathbb{R}$ , so some real numbers aren't in  $\mathbb{A}$ .

## Handout:

(A) If  $z \in A$  then  $|z+1| \le |z| + 1 \le \alpha + 1$ . This says that  $\alpha + 1$  is an upper bound for the set  $E = \{|z+1| : z \in A\}$ . Since the sup is the least upper bound, this means sup  $E \le \alpha + 1$ .

(B)(a)  $A_3$  is the Cartesian product of countable sets, so it is countable.  $B_3$  is an infinite subset of  $A_3$  so it is also countable, by 2.8.

(b) f is not 1-to-1 since f((a, b, c)) = f((b, a, c)). f is onto, since given a set  $\{a, b, c\}$ , we can put its elements in some order to make a tuple in  $B_3$ , say  $(a, b, c) \in B_3$ , and then  $f((a, b, c)) = \{a, b, c\}$ .

(c)  $B_3$  is countable by (a), and  $C_3 = f(B_3)$  by (b), so by a theorem from lecture,  $C_3$  is at most countable. Since  $C_3$  is not finite, it must be countable.

(d) Let  $C_n = \{ \text{all } n \text{-element subsets of } \mathbb{Z} \}$ . The same argument as the above for  $C_3$  shows that  $C_n$  is countable. Since  $C = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n$ , it follows from 2.12 that C is countable.

(C) For the intersection, consider [0, 1] and [1, 2]. These are uncountable but the intersection is the single point  $\{1\}$  which is not uncountable.

For the union, suppose A, B are uncountable. If  $A \cup B$  were finite, then its subsets A and B would be finite, a contradiction. If  $A \cup B$  were countable, then A would be an infinite subset of the countable set  $A \cup B$ , hence countable, again a contradiction. Therefore  $A \cup B$  must be uncountable.

(D)(a) Let  $A_N$  be the set of sequences which are 0 after time N, that is,

$$A_N = \{ (z_1, z_2, \dots) : z_n \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\} \text{ for all } n, z_n = 0 \text{ for all } n > N \}.$$

Then  $A_N$  is finite (in fact it has  $4^N$  elements), since an element of  $A_N$  is determined by specifying each of the first N coordinates, with 4 choices for each coordinates. The set  $A = \{$  all terminating sequences of 0's, 1's, 2's, and 3's  $\}$  is the same as  $\bigcup_{N \ge 1} A_N$ , so by the Corollary to Theorem 2.12, A is at most countable. Since A is infinite, it must be countable.

(b) Similarly to (a), we can let  $B_N$  be the set of sequences which are 0 after time N, that is,

$$B_N = \{(z_1, z_2, \dots) : z_n \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for all } n, z_n = 0 \text{ for all } n > N\}.$$

We can make a bijection between  $B_N$  and  $\mathbb{Z}^N$ , defining  $f : \mathbb{Z}^N \to B_N$  by  $f(z_1, \ldots, z_N) = (z_1, \ldots, z_N, 0, 0, \ldots)$ . By Theorem 2.13,  $\mathbb{Z}^N$  is countable; since we have a bijection, so is  $B_N$ . The set  $B = \{$  all terminating sequences of integers  $\}$  is the same as  $\bigcup_{N \ge 1} B_N$ , which is countable by Theorem 2.12.

(E) Since  $\mathbb{C}$  has the same metric as  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , we know the triangle inequality:  $|w_1+w_2| \leq |w_1|+|w_2|$ . So the inequality is valid for the starting value n = 2. We can proceed by induction. Suppose the inequality

$$(*) |w_1 + \dots + w_n| \le |w_1| + \dots + |w_n|$$

is true for some  $n \ge 2$ . From (\*) for two complex numbers, we know that

$$|w_1 + \dots + w_n + w_{n+1}| \le |w_1 + \dots + w_n| + |w_{n+1}|$$

Then from (\*) for *n* complex numbers, we get

$$|w_1 + \dots + w_n| + |w_{n+1}| \le |w_1| + \dots + |w_n| + |w_{n+1}|,$$

so (\*) is true for n + 1. Thus by induction it is true for all n.

(F) To show  $|a| \leq |x - y|$  for some a, we show  $a \leq |x - y|$  and  $-a \leq |x - y|$ . In the present case, a is |x| - |y|. From the triangle inequality we have

$$|x| \le |x - y| + |y| \quad \text{so} \quad |x| - |y| \le |x - y|,$$
  
$$|y| \le |y - x| + |x| \quad \text{so} \quad |y| - |x| \le |x - y|.$$

Putting these together shows  $||x| - |y|| \le |x - y|$ .

(G) Note that  $A \setminus B = \{x \in A : x \text{ is rational}\}$ , which is at most countable since it's a subset of  $\mathbb{Q}$ . If B were at most countable, then  $A = B \cup (A \setminus B)$  would be the union of two at-most-countable sets, contradicting the assumed uncountability of A. Thus B must be uncountable.